



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/686,353 | 10/14/2003 | Robert E. Boyd | 066491-007 | 6700 |
| 7590 | | 11/13/2007 | | |
| Theodore D. Lienesch | | | | |
| Thompson Hine LLP | | | | |
| 2000 Courthouse Plaza NE | | | | |
| 10 West Second Street | | | | |
| Dayton, OH 45402-1758 | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | MOHANDESI, JILA M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3728 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 11/13/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/686,353

Applicant(s)

BOYD ET AL.

Examiner

Jila M. Mohandesi

Art Unit

3728

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jila M. Mohandesi.

(3) Robert E. Boyd.

(2) David R. Jaglowski.

(4) James A. Forsyth.

Date of Interview: 02 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3, 8, 9, 11, 17-27, 32-35 and 37-41.

Identification of prior art discussed: prior art of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Differences between the instant invention and the prior art of record were discussed. There appears to be a difference of an opinion as to the teachings of references Hardt and Santiyanont which were applied in the rejections. Applicant's attorney will submit arguments to be formally considered.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


JILA M. MOHANDESI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required